

Appendices

Appendix 1: An occupational health economic example

Appendix 2: Structured multidisciplinary work environment mapping

Appendix 3: Specific issues for patient handling and movement

Appendix 4: Plan of action – an example

Appendix 5: Registers and checklist of work equipment and transfer aids

Appendix 1: An occupational health economic example

The following describes an occupational health economic example based on a relatively common event involving a patient transfer.

Lena, aged 45, works as an assistant nurse in a healthcare unit:

“I work in a healthcare unit at a hospital and enjoy my job very much. But two weeks ago, something happened. There was a lot to do at work and I was going to help a patient move from the bed to the wheelchair. I felt like I was standing a little oddly and had to adjust to prevent the patient from falling. I felt my back creak, but I clenched my teeth and finished working that day. Now, it’s been a week and it has only become worse and I have been on sick leave for some time.”

What direct consequences did the event have? Lena suffered pain in her back but still finished her work that day. Immediately after the incident, Lena was on full-time sick leave for two weeks due to fully reduced work capacity; i.e. she could not do her work as she had difficulty bending and coping with the daily work at the unit, such as making beds and handling and moving patients. During Lena’s sick leave, the employer pays her sick pay for two weeks.

What short- and long-term consequences might the event lead to? A stand-in needs to be appointed to replace Lena, unless her colleagues take over her tasks. If Lena is on sick leave for more than these two weeks, the costs will be passed on to the Swedish Social Insurance Agency, and the socio-economic costs will increase. Lower back problems usually go away, but they can persist, vary and recur, leading to reduced work capacity. This may mean that Lena will be on sick leave again, and the employer will then have a recurring cost for Lena’s short-term sickness absence. The major cost for the employer, however, is that of loss of production for so-called sickness attendance. If Lena works with reduced capacity, this will affect the employer, as productivity decreases when the capacity to work does not match the tasks. Furthermore, her colleagues may end up with a higher workload if they take over tasks that Lena cannot carry out. The employer has an obligation to investigate whether the employee, in this case Lena, needs any work adaptations and, if so, carry out the adaptations and follow them up. Any adaptations must not adversely affect other workers. The employer can use occupational health as an expert resource in matters of work adaptation and rehabilitation. Lena may also need to visit her health centre for assessment and rehabilitation with a physiotherapist, as well as a doctor for pain relief medicine and a medical certificate, if she is unable to work because of her disorder.

In summary, the costs of an incident that does not seem serious can ultimately be costly for employers, society and the individual themselves. The individual often suffers reduced work capacity, worse health, quality of life and a worse financial situation.

How could the incident have been prevented? What risks can be identified in the described case and how could the incident have been avoided? According to the description, there was much work to do, which can mean that the staffing level was low in relation to the needs, and Lena may have been stressed. Both of these factors increase the risk of incidents and actual injuries.

Furthermore, Lena carries out a transfer between a bed and a wheelchair and describes that she stood oddly and needed to adjust so that the patient would not fall. Does Lena need training in transfer skills? When was the last time training was given in the unit and what is the level of knowledge among employees? Has the employer budgeted for staff training? The cost of internal training in transfer knowledge is approximately SEK 3,000 per person. The case of Lena also raises the question of whether the unit has adequate work equipment and whether it has budgeted for the purchase and service of equipment. In this case, a so-called turner could have been used in the patient transfer to make the transfer safer for both the patient and the employee. A turner costs about SEK 3,500 including VAT to purchase.

When calculating the costs for the employer, the cost of purchasing work equipment and training can be related to the cost of loss of production in the case of sick leave and short- and long-term sickness absence. The standard calculation of the costs in the case of Lena is carried out according to 'Arbetshälsoekonomiskt analysverktyg - ett komplement till Riktlinjer för ländryggsbesvär' (occupational health economic analysis tool – a supplement to guidelines for lower back problems; Mynak 2019). The costs for society and the personal suffering are not included in this calculation.

Example of an orthopaedic unit

The median salary for an assistant nurse at an orthopaedic unit is SEK 25,659. The standard rate for short-term sickness absence, cost per day, is 10% of the monthly salary.

The standard rate for long-term sickness absence, cost per day, is 1% of the monthly salary. Reduced work capacity in the case of back problems means a production loss of 10–15%.

Case

Sick leave 100% for 2 weeks, then 50% for 2 weeks, and then work 100% but with reduced capacity due to back problems for another 3 months.

Cost of sickness absence	SEK
Days 1–14 (10 working days):	25,659
Days 15–28 sick leave 50% (10 working days):	1,283
Loss of production sickness presence	
3 months with back pain:	14,210
Total cost of an injury from a transfer	41,152
Cost of measures	SEK
Purchase of turner* (incl. VAT)	3500
Cost of internal training (per person)	3000
Total cost of measures	6500

Return on investment

In this example, we do not know the proportion of injuries that could have been avoided through preventive measures (the effect of the measure). But by putting the cost of an injury in relation to the cost of preventive measures to reduce the proportion of injuries, we can calculate how great the effect of the measure needs to be for it to be worth the investment.

Break-even $\text{SEK } 6,500 / \text{SEK } 41,152 = 15.8\%$

If the measure (turner+training) reduces the proportion of injuries during transfers by at least 15.8%, then the measure is worth the investment.

** A turner is a piece of work equipment that all employees in the unit can use for patient transfers, not just Lena in this case.*

Appendix 2: Structured multidisciplinary work environment mapping

Structured multidisciplinary work environment mapping (SMET)

– Occupational health services (OHS) method for support in systematic work environment management

Structured multidisciplinary work environment mapping is an OHS method of support for systematic work environment management and consists of four parts:

1. Start-up work
2. Mapping (the SMET survey and in-depth analysis)
3. Tailored interventions
4. Evaluation

Work is underway to develop a digital version of SMET. Ease of use and support are central to this work. The programme should guide the user through all the stages of the SMET method and then automatically compile results, reports and presentation material.

The SMET computer program is expected to be available for occupational health in 2023.

The SMET survey

While waiting for the SMET method and associated computer program to be ready, the paper version of the SMET questionnaire can be used to evaluate the working environment. The survey was developed through research in the field of the work environment and is divided into three types of factors: physical, physiotherapeutic and organisational/social factors. Each part ends with a question (which is worse?) and a free text question. The survey only contains 30 questions and is designed to be time-efficient and minimise the time taken from the workplace. The average response time is only 12 minutes. The survey has been scientifically evaluated and shown to have good psychometric properties. The questions in the survey identify problems in the work environment. After that, tailored interventions can be applied and their effects evaluated.

Scientific references

Haraldsson P, Jonker D, Rolander B, Strengbom E, Areskoug-Josefsson K. Structured multidisciplinary work evaluation tool (SMET): Reliability testing of a multi-disciplinary/multi-factorial work questionnaire. *Work*. 2019;62(2):287–997. Haraldsson P, Jonker D, Strengbom E, Areskoug-Josefsson K. Structured multidisciplinary work evaluation tool: Development and validation of a multidisciplinary work questionnaire. *Work*. 2016;55:883–91.

Read more about SMET and study the user manual on the website:
www.fhvmetodik.se

Appendix 3: Specific issues for patient handling and movement

Below are questions that can be used to map the safety work on patient handling and movement in the workplace. If employees are asked to answer the questions at the beginning of the work, their answers can form a basis for further work. You can appoint occupational health or an equivalent expert to get support with an independent compilation.

The areas of the questionnaire in which several employees choose the responses 'Not at all accurate' or 'Not very accurate' indicate particular aspects of the work environment that need improvement.

Part A. Please indicate to what extent you, as an employee, agree with the statements below on how you manage safety of patient handling and movement in your workplace.

	Not at all accurate	Not very accurate	Partly accurate	Completely accurate
1. Those of us who work here endeavour to cooperate to carry out safe patient handling and movement.	Only put one X against each question			
2. At our workplace, we have access to work equipment and aids that we can use for patient handling and movement.				
3. At our workplace there are fixed ceiling lifts where they are needed.				
4. At our workplace, we have a procedure for maintenance of the equipment so that it is safe.				
5. Those of us who work here take joint responsibility for work equipment and aids being used for patient handling and movement.				
6. At our workplace, we work based on a work environment policy.				
7. At our workplace, we work based on a written guideline or guidance for patient handling and movement.				
8. At our workplace, we work based on an established procedure for how to conduct risk assessments during patient handling and movement.				
9. Before we carry out patient handling and movement, we evaluate the risks that may exist in each situation in a systematic way.				
10. Before we carry out patient handling and movement, we assess the health and functional capacity of the care recipient.				
11. Before we carry out patient handling and movement, we assess the care recipient's risk of a fall.				
12. Before we carry out patient handling and movement, we assess the care recipient's ability to move themselves.				
13. Before we carry out patient handling and movement, we assess whether we need more healthcare professionals to be able to transfer the care recipient in a safe way.				
14. At our workplace, we have regular training in the field of patient handling and movement and transfer knowledge/transfer technology.				
15. At our workplace, we regularly discuss how we can prevent injuries during patient handling and movement.				
16. At our workplace, we have a designated coach who can support the everyday work for safe patient handling and movement.				

Part B. Use the questions below to reflect on how you as an employee carry out patient handling and movement at your workplace.

Discuss together in the working group.

- | |
|---|
| 17. How often do you take part in tasks involving patient handling and movement? |
| 18. How often do you carry out patient handling and movement with a colleague? |
| 19. How often do you carry out patient handling and movement without using the work equipment needed to do so safely? |
| 20. In the past week, what percentage of the care recipients do you consider to be independent enough not to need any help from healthcare workers? |
| 21. In the past week, what percentage of care recipients do you think need a lot of help from healthcare workers for transfers? |

Appendix 4 Action plan – An example

Risk and possible consequences	Causes	Risk level	Goals	Measures	Who	Schedule	Completed
Describe the risk and possible consequences.	Describe the reason that the risk exists or can arise.	Low Medium High	Set a measurable goal for the activity that can be followed up.	Describe which measures you intend to take to eliminate or reduce the risk.	Decide who will work on the measures being implemented.	When should the measure(s)/have been implemented. Adjust date for follow-up.	Tick off when the risk measure has been taken.
Example 1: Transferring a care recipient with impaired balance from a wheelchair to a shower chair is heavy and difficult to do. The different stages can carry a risk of exposing working postures that lead to problems such as back pain for healthcare workers. There is also a risk of injury to the care recipient in the transfer situation, with an increased risk of falls.	It is unclear for the healthcare workers how to carry out the transfer in the safest way. Ignorance of how to best carry out the transfer. Ignorance and lack of work equipment.	Means	All healthcare workers should learn/increase their knowledge of basic transfer skills. Ensure that the unit has adapted work equipment for the transfers that are to be carried out.	Basic training in transfer skills. Height-adjustable shower chairs to be purchased. A method for assessing the risk of falls to be used in the daily work. Purchase and service of work equipment.	The Head of Unit takes responsibility for managing and allocating the work and planning training and purchasing of suitable work equipment. Tasks are delegated according to a specific plan.	The manager, in dialogue with the safety representative, decides on a plan that means that during the coming year (20XX) all employees should have been offered training. The purchase of equipment takes place gradually over years. The plan must be completed at the latest by 15 December 20XX.	
Example 2: When assessing the care recipient's mobility and at the first mobilisation/transfer of the care recipient, there is uncertainty about what the employees should do. There is also uncertainty about who to turn to for questions about transfers.	There is currently no explicit working method for patient handling and movement at the unit. There are currently no clear procedures for what to do in different types of difficult transfer situations.	Means	A written procedure for how the unit works with safe patient handling and movement.	Develop a procedure for how the work with patient handling and movement should be done. It should, in part, contain how and who makes the assessment of transfer ability, procedures for difficult transfers and which work equipment should be used for different transfers. Include how new employees and summer workers are informed about the work with patient handling and movement.	The Head of Unit takes responsibility together with the collaboration group for establishing a procedure for patient handling and movement.	The procedure must be completed by 30 January 20XX.	

Appendix 5: Registers and checklist of equipment for work and transfer aids

This is a checklist to support the inventory and creation of a register of work equipment and mobility aids that are used in the care environment concerned. Having access to safe and appropriate products is a prerequisite for carrying out safe patient handling and movement. Therefore, it is essential to check that you have the right type of work equipment, in sufficient quantity and that it is safe to use and is maintained regularly.

Register and checklist of equipment for work and transfer aids

Available in the workplace?		Are there enough?		Has the work equipment been inspected?		Work equipment/mobility aids
Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
						When moving/walking:
						Walking stick/crutch/four-point support
						Walking frame with wheels
						Stand tall walker
						Support belt
						When washing, bathing and showering
						Bath and shower chair on wheels, manual
						Bath and shower chair on wheels, electric
						When moving and turning
						Sliding sheet
						Sliding sheet, sliding mat, turning mat
						Anti-slip mat, anti-slip sock
						Sliding board
						Lifting stretcher/bed with handles
						Swivel plate (turntable)
						Swivel plate with handles (without wheels)
						Transfer platform (with wheels)
						For lifting patients
						Mobile personal lift
						Stand lift
						Ceiling lift
						Slings
						Electric adjustable bed
						Bed accessories: bed gate/lifting pole/rope ladder

Are there procedures for checking that the equipment works and is available? Yes/No

Person responsible for the checks. Name:

About producing this guide

This research-based guide has been compiled on behalf of the Swedish Agency for Work Environment Expertise. The work to establish, discuss and write it was done during 2022 and launched in December 2022.

The guide “Safe patient handling and movement – A research-based guide for a better work environment” was translated from Swedish into English in collaboration with Charlotte Wåhlin, Glykeria Skamagki and Liv Nilsson. This version of the guide was launched 2024.

Authors English version of the guide

Charlotte Wåhlin (*Principal Project Manager*), *Degree of Doctor of Medical Science/ Associate Professor, ergonomist/reg. physiotherapist, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Linköping University Hospital, Region Östergötland, and Adjunct Senior Lecturer, Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Division of Prevention, Rehabilitation and Community Medicine, Linköping University, Sweden*

Dr Glykeria Skamagki *PhD, Assistant Professor in Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy, The University of Birmingham, United Kingdom*

Liv Nilsson, *process manager, Swedish Agency for Work Environment Expertise, Sweden*

Authors and participants in the Swedish project group

Charlotte Wåhlin (*Principal Project Manager*), *Degree of Doctor of Medical Science/ Associate Professor, ergonomist/reg. physiotherapist, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Linköping University Hospital, Region Östergötland, and Adjunct Senior Lecturer, Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Division of Prevention, Rehabilitation and Community Medicine, Linköping University.*

Sebastian Buck, *doctoral student, ergonomist/reg. physiotherapist, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Linköping University Hospital, Region Östergötland, and Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Division of Prevention, Rehabilitation and Community Medicine, Linköping University.*

Maria Andreassen, *Degree of Doctor of Medical Science, reg. physiotherapist, Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Division of Prevention, Rehabilitation and Community Medicine, Linköping University, and Leanlink Elderly Care, Linköping Municipality.*

Emma Nilsing Strid, *Degree of Doctor of Medical Science, Associate professor and reg. physiotherapist, Development Unit and University Hospital Research Centre, Region Örebro County, and Örebro University.*

Jenni Fock, *development strategist, reg. nurse, Development Strategy Unit, management staff, Region Östergötland.*

Liv Nilsson, *process-leading analyst, Swedish Agency for Work Environment Expertise.*

Sverre Lundqvist, *communications officer, Swedish Agency for Work Environment Expertise.*

Reviewers

Kjerstin Stigmar, *Associate Professor, reg. physiotherapist and ergonomist, Lund University, has reviewed the guide with regard to scientific quality and user perspective.*

Reviewers from a user perspective

Camilla Gustavsson, *Head of Health Care, reg. nurse, Heart Centre, Region Östergötland.*

Tone Edström, *assistant nurse and trainer in transfer knowledge, Karlskoga Municipality.*

Anna Thornberg, *reg. physiotherapist and ergonomist, Occupational Health Service, Sundsvall.*

Christina Östman, *reg. physiotherapist and ergonomist, Occupational Health Service, Sundsvall.*

Other participating experts and organisations

Dialogue groups and partners

Dialogue groups and partners have communicated knowledge and experiences that have been taken into account during the work with the preparation of the guide. The working group would like to thank experts, technical specialists and organisations for their valuable input into the work.

Dialogue with the Swedish Work Environment Authority

Kersti Loren, *expert in ergonomics and HTO (human, technology and organisation)*

Elin Vidlund, *expert in ergonomics and HTO (human, technology and organisation)*

Minke Wersäll, *Senior Analyst*

Annika Schmidt, *Work Environment Inspector*

Annegret Ellinger, *Work Environment Inspector*

Jan Henriksson, *Work Environment Inspector*

Dialogue with the Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions (SALAR)

Anders Westlund, *analyst, Department of Employer Policy*

Gunnar Sundqvist, *analyst, Department of Employer Policy*

Dialogue with the National Board of Health and Welfare

Anna Netterheim, *analyst, National System for Knowledge-Driven Management within Swedish Healthcare*

Elisabeth Lagerkrans, *analyst, National System for Knowledge-Driven Management within Swedish Healthcare*

Dialogue with networks and reference group

Nätverket för aktivt lärande och utveckling om personförflyttningar (NALUP; network for active learning and development on patient handling and movement). The network is made up of various representatives from regions and municipalities, and private actors with an interest in developing the area of patient handling and movement and knowledge pertaining to it. Various professions are involved, such as reg. physiotherapists, reg. occupational therapists, ergonomists, reg. nurses and assistant nurses.

The reference group for the research project Patient and Workers Safety Study (PAWSS).

The group is made up of representatives such as a healthcare unit manager, HR strategist, the Swedish Association of Health Professionals (Vårdförbundet), Kommunal (trade union), Swedish Confederation of Professional Associations (Saco; Sveriges akademikers centralorganisation), Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions (Sveriges kommuner och regioner), the Swedish Work Environment Authority, and the Innovation Office of Region Östergötland.

Other partners for dialogue

Anna Björk, *reg. physiotherapist, Unit HR, Skåne University Hospital, Region Skåne*

Patrik Haraldsson, *doctoral student/ergonomist, Work Environment Unit, Region Jönköping County*

Naimi Johansson, *Degree of Doctor of Medical Science/Health Economist, University Hospital Research Centre, Region Örebro County*

Josephina Hellgren, *reg. occupational therapist, Regional Office, Centre for Innovation Research and Education, Region Västmanland.*

Kristina Kindblom, *reg. physiotherapist, researcher, assignment at the Karolinska Institute, Ersta Hospital.*

Ida Lidstedt, *reg. occupational therapist, Nyköping Hospital, Region Sörmland.*

Ulrika Wats, *ambulance driver, Falk AB, Linköping.*

Department of Prehospital Care, *Region Östergötland.*

Sveriges Företagshälsor (Swedish Association of Occupational Health and Safety)

The Swedish Disability Rights Federation (Funktionsrätt) *Östergötland*

More reading, in-depth study and literature

Web pages

As a reader you can download more information from the following websites about issues related to work environment, health care, patient safety, patient handling and movement, and ergonomics.

Authorities

The Swedish Agency for Work Environment Expertise: www.mynak.se

Swedish work Environment Authority: www.av.se

National Board of Health and Welfare: www.socialstyrelsen.se

National Board of Health and Welfare. Support for patient safety
<https://patientsakerhet.socialstyrelsen.se/>

The parties

Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions: www.skr.se

Suntarbetsliv: www.suntarbetsliv.se

Prevent: www.prevent.se

International

European Agency for Safety and Health at work, EU-OSHA:

www.osha.europa.eu/en

International EU projects: Safe Transfer technique: <https://velfaerdsteknologi.aarhus.dk/eu-projekter/safe-transfer-techniques/>

E-learning: Safe patient handling and movement E-Training (SE) – Safe patient handling and movement (STT)
(elaer.dk)

Methods and ways of working

Risk assessment with TilThermometer: www.tilthermometer.com

Joint website of the Occupational and Environmental Medicine Units:
www.fhvmetodik.se

Other

Vårdhandboken: www.vardhandboken.se. Read the pages on occupational ergonomics in healthcare and care, and on responsibilities and regulations.

National system for knowledge-driven management within Swedish healthcare:
www.kunskapsstyrningvard.se

Senior Alert. National quality registers and tools for healthcare prevention:
www.senioralert.se

Literature

A selection of the literature that has been used in the preparation of this research-based guide is presented below, divided by subject area. The selection was put together to provide tips on further reading and in-depth study. The literature is divided into the following areas:

- Injuries, exposure disorders and injuries in healthcare and care
- System perspective, safety culture, leadership, work environment and patient safety
- Work environment mapping, risk assessment, health promotion and ergonomics
- Policies and guidelines for safe patient handling and movement
- Learning and measures for safe patient handling and movement
- Aids and work equipment, purchasing and use
- Physical activity, variation and movement, recovery
- Evaluation and implementation
- Occupational health economics

Injuries, exposure disorders and injuries in healthcare and care

AFA Insurance. Allvarliga arbetsskador och långvarig sjukfrånvaro – June 2022. Statistics report, Stockholm, 2022.

Andersen LL, Vinstrup J, Villadsen E, Jay K, Jakobsen MD. Physical and psychosocial work environmental risk factors for back injury among healthcare workers: Prospective cohort study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(22):4528.

Andersen LL, Burdorf A, Fallentin N, Persson R, Jakobsen MD, Mortensen OS, Clausen T, Holtermann A. Patient transfers and assisting devices: Prospective cohort study on the risk for occupational back injury among healthcare workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2014 Jan;40(1):74–81.

Andersen L. Discussion paper. Musculoskeletal disorders in the healthcare sector. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (EU-OSHA), 2019.

Andersen LL, Clausen T, Persson R, Holtermann A. Perceived physical exertion during healthcare work and risk of chronic pain in different body regions: Prospective cohort study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2013 Aug;86(6):681–7.

The Swedish Work Environment Authority. Arbetsorsakade besvär 2020. Arbetsmiljöstatistik Rapport 2021:3.

The Swedish Work Environment Authority. Arbetsmiljöstatistik Rapport 2022:2. Arbetsmiljön 2021.

Crawford JO, Berkovic D, Erwin J, Copsey SM, Davis A, Giagloglou E, Yazdani A ... Woolf A. Musculoskeletal health in the workplace. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2020 Oct;34(5):101558.

Davis KG, Kotowski SE. Prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders for nurses in hospitals, long-term care facilities, and home health care: A comprehensive review. *Human Factors*. 2015;57(5):754–92.

Swedish Social Insurance Agency. Socialförsäkringen i siffror 2022. www.forsakringskassan.se/statistik

Januario LB, Mathiassen SE, Stevens ML, Holtermann A, Bergström G, Rugulies R, Karstad K, Hallman DM. Are resident handlings in elderly care wards associated with musculoskeletal pain and sickness absence among the workers? A prospective study based on onsite observations. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2021 Nov;1:47(8):609–18.

Nilsing Strid E, Wåhlin C, Ros A, Kvarnström S. Health care workers' experiences of workplace incidents that posed a risk of patient and worker injury: A critical incident technique analysis. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2021; 21(1):511.

Pompeii LA, Lipscomb HJ, Schoenfish AL, Dement JM. Musculoskeletal injuries resulting from patient handling tasks among hospital workers. *Am J Ind Med*. 2009;52(7):571–8.

Stevens ML, Karstad K, Mathiassen SE, Januario LB, Rugulies R, Hallman DM, Holtermann A. Associations between perceived quantitative work demands at different organizational levels and pain and sickness absence in elderly care workers: A multilevel longitudinal analysis. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2022 Jul;95(5):993–1001.

Vinstrup J, Jakobsen MD, Andersen LL. Perceived stress and lowback pain among healthcare workers: A multicenter prospective cohort study. *Front Public Health*. 2020;8:297.

Waters TR, Nelson A, Proctor C. Patient handling tasks with high risk for musculoskeletal disorders in critical care. *Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am*. 2007;19(2):131–43.

Wåhlin C, Kvarnström S, Öhrn A, Nilsing Strid E. Patient and healthcare worker safety risks and injuries. Learning from incident reporting. *Eur J Physiother*. 2020;22(1):44–50.

System perspective, safety culture, leadership, work environment and patient safety

Carayon P, Wetterneck TB, Rivera-Rodriguez AJ, Hundt AS, Hoonakker P, Holden R, Gurses AP. Human factors systems approach to healthcare quality and patient safety. *Appl Ergon*. 2014;45(1):14–25.

Carayon P, Wooldridge A, Hoonakker P, Hundt AS, Kelly MM. SEIPS 3.0: Human-centered design of the patient journey for patient safety. *Appl Ergon*. 2020 Apr;84:103033.

Eklöf M, Törner M, Pousette A. Organizational and social-psychological conditions in healthcare and their importance for patient and staff safety. A critical incident study among doctors and nurses. *Safety Science*. 2014;70:211–21.

- Ekman I. *Personcentrering inom hälso- och sjukvård*. Från filosofi till praktik. Liber AB, 2020.
- Ekstedt M, Flink M. *Hemsjukvård: Olika perspektiv på trygg och säker vård*. Liber, 2019.
- Holden RJ, Carayon P, Gurses AP, Hoonakker P, Hundt AS, Ozok AA, Rivera-Rodriguez AJ. SEIPS 2.0: A human factors framework for studying and improving the work of healthcare professionals and patients. *Ergonomics*. 2013;56(11):1669–86.
- Nilsson K. *Attraktivt och hållbart arbetsliv på människors villkor. Arbete, hälsa och ledarskap med SwAge-modellen i teori och praktik*. Studentlitteratur AB, Lund. 2021.
- Pousette A, Larsman P, Eklöf M, Törner M. The relationship between patient safety climate and occupational safety climate in healthcare – A multi-level investigation. *J Safety Res*. 2017. 61:187–98.
- Shamoun S, Schmidt L, Antonsson A-B, Isaksson Lantto F, Strehlenert H. Arbetsmiljö- och Patientsäkerhetsarbetet – hinder och möjligheter för att arbeta integrerat. IVL Svenska Miljöinstitutet AB, Report number: B 2371, 2020. Stockholm.
- Sjöberg Forssberg K. Makt och möjlighet att förändra. Systematiskt arbetsmiljöarbete i könade offentliga verksamheter. Doctoral thesis KTH, 2021.
- National Board of Health and Welfare. Agera för säker vård. Nationell handlingsplan för ökad patientsäkerhet i hälso- och sjukvården 2020–2024. Stockholm, 2020.
- National Board of Health and Welfare. En indikatorbaserad uppföljning för säker vård. Utifrån den nationella handlingsplanen för ökad patientsäkerhet i hälso- och sjukvården – Agera för säker vård. ISBN 978-91-7555-570-6. Stockholm, 2021.
- Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions (SALAR). Patientsäkerhet och arbetsmiljö. En vägledning för hög patientsäkerhet och god arbetsmiljö, 2020.
- Törner M, Eklöf M, Larsman P, Pousette A. Säkerhetsklimat i vård och omsorg. Bakomliggande faktorer och betydelse för personalsäkerhet och patientsäkerhet. University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, 2013.
- Törner M, Gadolin C, Larsman P, Pousette A, Ros A, Skyvell Nilsson M. Hälsobringande sjukvård för personal och patienter. University of Gothenburg, Sahlgrenska Academy, Institute of Medicine, School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Gothenburg, 2022.
- Ödegård S. *Säker vård – nya perspektiv på patientsäkerhet*. Liber. 2019.

Work environment mapping, risk assessment, health promotion and ergonomics

Swedish Work Environment Authority, the Swedish Agency for Work Environment Expertise. Friskfaktorer som kan mätas och följas över tid. Avrapportering av regeringsuppdrag att sammanställa kunskap, 2021:1.

Buck S, Sandqvist J, Nilsing Strid E, Knibbe HJJ, Enthoven P, Wåhlin C. Translation and cross-cultural adaptation of the risk assessment instrument TilThermometer for a Swedish version – Patient handling in the healthcare sector. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*. 2022;23(1):531.

Engkvist IL. Evaluation of an intervention comprising a no lifting policy in Australian hospitals. *Appl Ergon*. 2006;37(2):141–8.

European Panel on Patient Handling Ergonomics (EPPHE). ISO Technical Report 12296. EP-PHE. 2012.

Haraldsson P, Jonker D, Rolander B, Strengbom E, Areskoug-Josefsson K. Structured multidisciplinary work evaluation tool (SMET): Reliability testing of a multi-disciplinary/multi-factorial work questionnaire. *Work*. 2019;62(2):287–97.

Haraldsson P, Jonker D, Strengbom E, Areskoug-Josefsson K. Structured multidisciplinary work evaluation tool: Development and validation of a multidisciplinary work questionnaire. *Work*. 2016;55:883–91.

ISM Report 21. Hälsa på arbetsplatsen - En sammanställning av kunskap och metoder. Institute of Stress Medicine, Västra Götaland, Gothenburg, 2018.

Johnsson C, Kjellberg K, Kjellberg A, Lagerström M. A direct observation instrument for assessment of nurses' patient transfer technique (DINO). *Appl Ergon*. 2004;35(6):591–601.

Karhula K, Rönnholm T, Sjögren T. Development of observation instrument for assessing work load on personnel involved in patient transfer tasks. NES 38th Annual Congress. NES Proceedings 2006:148–52.

Kjellberg K. Work technique in lifting and patient transfer tasks [thesis]. Gothenburg, University of Gothenburg, 2003.

LOCOmotion. TilThermometer VVT 2021. Available at: www.tilthermometer.com/vvt/. [Visited 16/06/2021].

Menoni O, Tasso M, Stucchi G, Manno R, Cairoli S, Galinotti L, Basilico S, Battevi N. Application of MAPO (Movement and assistance of hospitalized patients) method in hospitals and nursing homes: 20 years of experience and evolution – part 1. *Ergonomics*. 2022 Aug;65(8):1035–45.

Menoni O, Tasso M, Manno R, Battevi N. Application of MAPO (Movement and assistance of hospitalized patients) method in hospitals and nursing homes: Frequency of manual patient handling – part 2. *Ergonomics*. 2022 Sep;65(9):1215–29.

Samaei SE, Mostafae M, Jafarpour H, Hosseinabadi MB. Effects of patient handling and individual factors on the prevalence of low back pain among nursing personnel. *Work*. 2017;56(4):551–61.

Taylor JA, Dominici F, Agnew J, Gerwin D, Morlock L, Miller MR. Do nurse and patient injuries share common antecedents? An analysis of associations with safety climate and working conditions. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2012;21(2):101–11.

Villarroya A, Arezes P, Díaz-Freijo S, Fraga F. Comparison between five risk assessment methods of patient handling. *Int J Ind Ergon*. 2016;52:100–8.

Villarroya A, Arezes P, Diaz de Freijo S, Fraga F. Validity and reliability of the HEMPA method for patient handling assessment. *Appl Ergon*. 2017;65:209–22.

Policies and guidelines for safe patient handling and movement

Health service executive. Manual handling and people handling policy. Ireland, 2018.

Kurowski A, Gore R, Roberts Y, Kincaid KR, Punnett L. Injury rates before and after the implementation of a safe resident handling program in the long-term care sector. *Saf Sci*. 2017 Feb; 92:217–24.

Martin PJ, Harvey JT, Culvenor JF, Payne WR. Effect of a nurse back injury prevention intervention on the rate of injury compensation claims. *J Safety Res*. 2009;40(1):13–9.

Powell-Cope G, Toyinbo P, Patel N, Rugs D, Elnitsky C, Hahm B, Sutton B. Hodgson M. Effects of a national safe patient handling program on nursing injury incidence rates. *J Nurs ADM*. 2014 Oct;44(10):525–34.

Learning and measures for safe patient handling and movement

Adamczyk MA. Reducing intensive care unit staff musculoskeletal injuries with implementation of a safe patient handling and mobility program. *Crit Care Nurs Q*. 2018;41(3):264–71.

Dennerlein JT, O'Day ET, Mulloy DF, Somerville J, Stoddard AM, Kenwood C, Teeple E, ... Hashimoto D. Lifting and exertion injuries decrease after implementation of an integrated hospital-wide safe patient handling and mobilisation programme. *Occ Environ Med*. 2017;74(5):336–43.

Eriksson A, Dellve L, Jaldestad E, Jutengren G, Tjulin Å (ed.). *Lärande för hållbar verksamhetsutveckling. En forskningsbaserad handbok om att skapa förutsättningar för ett lärandeklimat som bidrar till hälsa och engagemang*. Mid Sweden University, Department of Health Sciences. 2020.

Hegewald J, Berge W, Heinrich P, Staudte R, Freiberg A, Scharfe J, Girbig M ... Seidler A. Do technical aids for patient handling prevent musculoskeletal complaints in health care workers? – A systematic review of intervention studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(3):476.

Kindblom-Rising K, Wahlström R, Nilsson-Wikmar L, Buer N. Nursing staff's movement awareness, attitudes and reported behaviour in patient transfer before and after an educational intervention. *Appl Ergon*. 2011;42(3):455–63.

Kugler HL, Taylor NF, Boyd L, Brusco NK. Nurses sustain manual handling risk assessment behaviours six months after a training program to move patients safely: A pre-post study. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2022; Mar 12:1–9.

Matz MW. *Patient handling and mobility assessments: A white paper.* (2nd ed.). The Facility Guidelines Institute. 2019.

Richardson A, McNoe B, Derrett S, Harcombe H. Interventions to prevent and reduce the impact of musculoskeletal injuries among nurses: A systematic review. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2018;82:58–67.

Smaerup M, Sørensen B. Handbok och riktlinjer. Säker förflyttningsteknik. Safe transfer techniques. VIA University College. Erasmus Programme of the European Union, 2021.

Spruce L. Safe patient handling and movement. AORM J. 2020 Jul;112(1):63–71.

The Swedish Work Environment Authority. Wahlin C, Stigmar K, Nilsing Strid E. Säkrare personförflyttningar. Åtgärder i arbetsmiljön för medarbetare inom hälso- och sjukvård samt omsorg. Kunskapssammanställning 2019:6.

Wåhlin C, Stigmar K, Nilsing Strid E. A systematic review of work interventions to promote safe patient handling and movement in the healthcare sector. *Int J Occup Saf Ergon.* 2021:1–13.

Aids and work equipment, purchasing and use

HSLF-FS 2021:52 Gemensamma författningssamlingen avseende hälso- och sjukvård, socialtjänst, läkemedel, folkhälsa m.m.

Hulldin M, Kängström J, Andersson Hagiwara M, Claesson A. Perceived exertion using two different EMS stretcher systems, report from a Swedish study. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2018;36(6):1040–4.

National Board of Health and Welfare. Förskrivning av hjälpmedel. Stöd vid förskrivning av hjälpmedel till personer med funktionsnedsättning, 2021. Article number 2021-12-7673.

National Board of Health and Welfare. Uppdrag statistik på hjälpmedelsområdet – slutrapport, 2021. Article number 2021-12-7696.

Tompa E, Dolinschi R, Alamgir H, Sarnocinska-Hart A, Guzman J. A cost-benefit analysis of peer coaching for overhead lift use in the long-term care sector in Canada. *Occup Environ Med.* 2016;73(5):308–314.

The National Agency for Public Procurement. Upphandling av hjälpmedel och välfärdsteknik, vägledning nr 1, 2017.

Vårdhandboken. Hjälpmedel vid fysiska funktionsnedsättningar, 2021. www.vardhandboken.se

Physical activity, variation and movement, recovery

Andersen LL, Skovlund SV, Vinstrup J, Geisle N, Sørensen SI, Thorsen SV, Sundstrup E. Potential of micro-exercise to prevent long-term sickness absence in the general working population: Prospective cohort study with register follow-up. *Sci Rep*. 2022 Feb 10;12(1):2280.

Ejlertsson L, Andersson I, Brorsson A, Heijbel B, Troein M. *Återhämtning under arbetsdagen – En inspirationsbok för individ och verksamhet*. Lund University, Media-Tryck, 2021.

Colliander E, Sundberg CJ. *Chefshälsa: för fysiskt aktiva arbetsplatser*. Studentlitteratur. 2022.

Dahlgren A, Söderström M. *Handbok i återhämtning för vårdpersonal i en turbulent tid*. The Karolinska Institute, 2022.

The Public Health Agency of Sweden. Rekommendationer för fysisk aktivitet och stillasittande, 2021.

Hellenius ML. *Varje rörelse räknas*. The Committee for the Promotion of Increased Physical Activity. Swedish Government Offices, Holm & Holm Books, 2022.

Járomi M, Kukla A, Szilágyi B, Simon-Ugron Á, Bobály VK, Makai A, Linek P. ... Leidecker E. Back school program for nurses has reduced low back pain levels: A randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Nurs*. 2018 Mar;27(5-6): e895–e902.

Evaluation and implementation

Colquhoun HL, Squires JE, Kolehmainen, N. et al. Methods for designing interventions to change healthcare professionals' behavior: A systematic review. *Implementation Sci*, 2017; 12:30.

Grimshaw JM, Eccles MP, Lavis JN et al. Knowledge translation of research findings. *Implementation Sci*. 2012;7:50.

Hasson H, von Thiele Schwarz U. *Användbar evidens: Anpassningar och följsamhet i vårdens vardag*. Natur och Kultur Akademisk, 2017.

Langley GL, Moen R, Nolan KM, Nolan TW, Norman CL, Provost LP. *The Improvement Guide: A practical approach to enhancing organizational performance* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass Publishers; 2009, San Francisco. See also Swedish presentation of Nolan's model via Region Jönköping County: <https://plus.rjl.se/infopage.jsf?nodeId=43807>.

Nilsen P, Birken SA. *The Handbook of implementation Science*. Cheltenham, UK, Elgar Publishing. 2020.

Nilsen P (ed.). *Implementering av evidensbaserad praktik*. Lund, Gleerups utbildning, 2015.

Nilsen, P. Making sense of implementation theories, models and frameworks. *Implementation Sci*. 2015;10:53.

Schäfer Elinder L, Kwak L. Evidensbaserat folkhälsoarbete. Lund. Studentlitteratur, 2014.

von Thiele Schwarz U, Hasson H, Wallin L. *Tillförlitlig och relevant kunskap för sjuksköterskor: om vetenskap och beprövad erfarenhet*. Natur och Kultur Läromedel, 2021.

Occupational health economics

Joish VN, Brixner DI. Back Pain and Productivity: Measuring Worker Productivity from an Employer's Perspective. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother*. 2004;18:2.

Lahiri S, Gold J, Levenstein C. Estimation of Net-costs for Prevention of Occupational Low Back Pain: Three case Studies from the US, American *Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 2005;48:6.

The Swedish Agency for Work Environment Expertise. Arbetshälsoekonomiskt analysverktyg. Ett komplement till Riktlinjer vid ländryggsbesvär. 2019.